

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 RIMINI STREET, INC., et al.,

14 Defendants.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-VCF

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
RIMINI'S MOTION TO SEAL
PORTIONS OF REPLY ON ITS
EMERGENCY MOTION TO STRIKE
IMPROPER EXPERT REPORT
SUPPLEMENT AND OPPOSITION
TO ORACLE'S CROSS-MOTION TO
REOPEN DISCOVERY FOR THE
LIMITED PURPOSE OF DEPOSING
HEARING WITNESSES**

ORDER

Pending before this Court is Defendant Rimini Street, Inc.'s ("Rimini") Motion to Seal Portions of Rimini's Reply in Support of Rimini's Emergency Motion Under Local Rule 7-4 to Strike Oracle's Improper Expert Report Supplement and Opposition To Oracle's Cross-Motion To Reopen Discovery for the Limited Purpose of Deposing Hearing Witnesses. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) provides broad discretion for a trial court to permit sealing of court documents for, *inter alia*, the protection of "a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information." Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). Having considered the motion and good cause existing:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Rimini's Motion to Seal is GRANTED. The Clerk of the Court shall file under seal portions of Rimini's Reply in Support of Rimini's Emergency Motion Under Local Rule 7-4 to Strike Oracle's Improper Expert Report Supplement and Opposition To Oracle's Cross-Motion To Reopen Discovery for the Limited Purpose of Deposing Hearing Witnesses.

Dated: _____

Hon. Larry R. Hicks
United States District Judge